

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/768,996	01/30/2004	Suresh C. Srivastava		4523
Indu M. Anan	7590 10/28/200	9	EXAM	INER
15 Green Way			FETTEROLF, BRANDON J	
Chelmsford, M	4A 01824		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/768,996	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRANDON J. FETTEROLF	1642	

	BRANDON J. FETTEROLF	1042	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: the Dox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a:
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belogo)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>52.53.75 and 91.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>51.54-74 and 76-90.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 38-44. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach-	ed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but New claims 51, 54-74 and 76-90 remain rejected under for the reasons set forth on page 9 of the Final office Act genus of nucleoside antimetabolites. However, the spec genus. In response to Applicants arguments, the Exami invention may be practiced analogously for the several a genus represented by the generic claim should not be at invention does not appear to be the problem. The problem	112, first paragraph, as failing to co ion mailed on 7/20/2009. In partici ification does not appera to be com- enr acknowledges and does not dis ntimetabolites disclosed and theref issue. However, the Examiner rec	mply with the restriction and the claims are income mensurate in scope to the pute Applicants controlled in the controll	on requirement clusive of a with the claimed ention that the ecies within the g the claimed
the claimed genus. This does not appear to be the case		al Rejection.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

## /Brandon J Fetterolf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091026

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1-23, 25-37 and 45-50 under USC 112, first paragraph relating to the WD for the CPG moieites and the rejection under 112 2nd paragraph.